Weyl formulas for annular ray-splitting billiards

Yves Décanini* and Antoine Folacci[†]

SPE, UMR CNRS 6134, Equipe Physique Semi-Classique (et) de la Matière Condensée, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Corse,

Boîte Postale 52, 20250 Corte, France

(Received 20 May 2003; published 14 October 2003)

We consider the distribution of eigenvalues for the wave equation in annular (electromagnetic or acoustic) ray-splitting billiards. These systems are interesting in that the derivation of the associated smoothed spectral counting function can be considered as a canonical problem. This is achieved by extending a formalism developed by Berry and Howls for ordinary (without ray-splitting) billiards [Berry and Howls, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **447**, 527 (1994)]. Our results are confirmed by numerical computations and permit us to infer a set of rules useful in order to obtain Weyl formulas for more general ray-splitting billiards.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.68.046204

PACS number(s): 05.45.Mt, 42.25.-p, 43.20.+g, 03.65.Sq

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering work of Weyl [1] dealing with the distribution of eigenvalues for the wave equation in a cavity with a perfectly reflecting boundary, considerable effort has been devoted to the construction of the smooth part of spectral counting functions (Weyl formulas) in various fields of physics and mathematics. For a historical point of view on this problem, we refer to the seminal paper of Kac [2] and to the monograph of Baltes and Hilf [3]. For its importance in physics and for various applications, we refer to the monographs of Baltes and Hilf [3] and of Brack and Bhaduri [4] as well as to references therein.

In general, the determination of the smooth part of a spectral counting function is a complicated task and only the leading order terms can be obtained. By contrast, for twoand three-dimensional billiards with Dirichlet, Neumann, or Robin boundary conditions, this problem can be considered as definitely solved (see, e.g., Refs. [5-9] or the monographs cited above). For ray-splitting billiards introduced in the context of acoustic and quantum chaos by Couchman et al. [10] and extensively studied in recent years (see, e.g., Refs. [11– 19]), it is rather natural to think that the same outcome could be obtained. Recently, some progress has been made in that direction [11,13,14,16] but it seems we are very far from a general theory. With this aim in view, it is interesting to solve canonical problems, i.e., to consider simple examples of raysplitting billiard problems for which it is possible to perform exactly the calculations [17,19] or to carry them on as far as possible [16]. The results then obtained are useful in order to infer Weyl formulas for more general ray-splitting billiards.

With this in mind, we are concerned, in this paper, with the distribution of eigenvalues for the scalar wave equation in a two-dimensional dielectric annular billiard. This billiard consists of an outer circle with radius R and an inner circle with radius r. The index of refraction between the two circles (region I) is fixed at 1 while the inner disk (region II) is characterized by the index of refraction N. At the interface between the two regions, we shall assume that the scalar field

 Φ solution of the wave equation and its normal derivative $\partial \Phi / \partial n$ satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\Phi^{\mathrm{I}} = \Phi^{\mathrm{II}}$$
 and $\frac{\partial \Phi^{\mathrm{I}}}{\partial n} = \alpha \frac{\partial \Phi^{\mathrm{II}}}{\partial n}$. (1)

The cases $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/N^2$ respectively correspond to the TM and TE polarizations in electromagnetism [20]. On the outer circle, we shall assume that Φ vanishes (Dirichlet boundary condition). Such a condition is more artificial than physical. It can be partially realized for the TM polarization if the billiard is embedded in a perfect conductor. We assume it in order to simplify our calculations. *Mutatis mutandis*, we can also consider the case of a two-dimensional acoustic annular billiard. In that case, region I (resp. region II) is occupied by a perfect fluid with density ρ^{I} (resp. ρ^{II}) while $\alpha = \rho^{I}/\rho^{II}$ [20] and can take any positive value. Finally, in order to be able to analytically perform the calculations, we shall assume that the two circles are concentric, but the final results given by Eqs. (29)–(31) and (40) are equally valid for nonconcentric circles.

For such billiards, eigenvalues cannot be analytically obtained. They satisfy a transcendental equation involving Bessel functions that can be solved only numerically. In spite of this, we are able to analytically derive the associated Weyl formulas from the corresponding Green functions. This is done by using an approach developed by Berry and Howls [8] and which generalizes a previous work by Stewartson and Waechter [7]. This approach has been considered by these authors for the circular billiard with the Dirichlet condition on its boundary. We extend it rather naturally to the more complicated case of annular ray-splitting billiards. We are then confronted by some tedious algebraic calculations which, fortunately, can be performed with the help of MATH-EMATICA [21].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce our notations and we construct the Green function for the annular ray-splitting billiard as well as the associated regularized resolvent. In Sec. III, by extending the Berry-Howls approach, we obtain a set of Weyl formulas corresponding to various values of the parameters α and N. In Sec. IV, we briefly consider the same problem for the desymmetrized

^{*}Electronic address: decanini@univ-corse.fr

[†]Electronic address: folacci@univ-corse.fr

versions of the annular ray-splitting billiard. In Sec. V, we numerically check the previous results, and in Sec. VI, we conclude our paper by inferring a set of rules useful for constructing Weyl formulas for more general ray-splitting billiards [see Eqs. (41)-(48)].

II. GREEN FUNCTION FOR THE ANNULAR RAY-SPLITTING BILLIARD AND REGULARIZED RESOLVENT

From now on, we shall use the polar coordinate system (ρ, θ) with its origin O at the common center of the two circles which define the annular ray-splitting billiard. The eigenvalues k_i for the wave equation in this billiard as well as the associated eigenfunctions Φ_i are determined by solving the following problem.

(i) k_i and Φ_i satisfy the Helmholtz equation

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{x}}\Phi_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = k_{i}^{2}\Phi_{i}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}(\rho,\theta),$$
(2)

where

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} & \text{for } r < \rho < R \\ -(1/N^2)\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} & \text{for } 0 < \rho < r, \end{cases}$$
(3)

with the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ given, in the polar coordinate system, by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}.$$
 (4)

(ii) Φ_i satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\Phi_i^{\mathbf{I}}(\rho = R, \theta) = 0, \tag{5a}$$

$$\Phi_i^{\mathrm{I}}(\rho = r, \theta) = \Phi_i^{\mathrm{II}}(\rho = r, \theta), \qquad (5b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{\mathrm{I}}}{\partial \rho}(\rho = r, \theta) = \alpha \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{\mathrm{II}}}{\partial \rho}(\rho = r, \theta), \qquad (5c)$$

for $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

Because a solution of Eq. (2) is expressible in terms of Bessel functions [22], it is easy to prove from Eq. (5) that k_i are the values of k which solve

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_{m}(kR) & J_{m}(kr) & J'_{m}(kr) \\ H^{(1)}_{m}(kR) & H^{(1)}_{m}(kr) & H'^{(1)}_{m}(kr) \\ 0 & J_{m}(Nkr) & N\alpha J'_{m}(Nkr) \end{array} = 0$$
(6)

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a given *m*, they can be indexed by the integer *n* with n = 1, 2, 3, ... and the corresponding eigenfunctions are given by

$$\Phi_{m,n}(\rho,\theta) = A_{m,n} \left(J_m(k_{m,n}\rho) - \frac{J_m(k_{m,n}R)}{H^{(1)}(k_{m,n}R)} \times H^{(1)}(k_{m,n}\rho) \right) e^{im\theta},$$
(7)

where $A_{m,n}$ are normalization constants. It should be noted that the eigenvalues corresponding to $m \neq 0$ are twofold degenerated because of the relation $k_{m,n} = k_{-m,n}$ which follows from the invariance of Eq. (6) under the change $m \rightarrow -m$.

The determination of the eigenvalues k_i permits us to construct the spectral counting function associated with the annular ray-splitting billiard. It is given by

$$\mathcal{N}(k) = \sum_{i} \Theta(k - k_{i}) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n = 1}^{+\infty} \Theta(k - k_{m,n}), \quad (8)$$

where Θ denotes the Heaviside function.

Equation (6) can be solved only numerically. As a consequence, the smooth part of the spectral counting function $\mathcal{N}(k)$ cannot be obtained directly from Eq. (8). In order to accomplish this, it is more convenient to generalize the Berry-Howls approach [8] (see also Stewartson and Waechter [7]) by introducing the regularized resolvent

$$g(s) = \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} [G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s) - G_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s)] \rho d\rho d\theta$$
$$+ \int_r^R \int_0^{2\pi} [G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s) - G_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s)] \rho d\rho d\theta, \quad (9)$$

where G_0 is the "free-space Green function" given by

$$G_0^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(s |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|)$$

= $\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_m(s\rho_{<}) K_m(s\rho_{>}) e^{im(\theta - \theta')},$
(10a)

$$G_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = \frac{N^2}{2\pi} K_0(Ns|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|)$$

= $\frac{N^2}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_m(Ns\rho_{<}) K_m(Ns\rho_{>}) e^{im(\theta - \theta')},$
(10b)

while G is the annular ray-splitting billiard Green function solution of

$$(\hat{H}_{\mathbf{x}} + s^2) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$$
(11)

and subject to the boundary conditions

$$G^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = 0 \quad \text{for } \rho \text{ or } \rho' = R, \quad 0 \leq \theta, \theta' < 2\pi,$$
(12a)
$$G^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) \quad \text{for } \rho \text{ or } \rho' = r,$$

$$0 \le \theta, \theta' < 2\pi, \tag{12b}$$

$$\frac{\partial G^{1}}{\partial \rho}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',s) = \alpha \frac{\partial G^{11}}{\partial \rho}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',s) \quad \text{for } \rho \text{ or } \rho' = r,$$

046204-2

WEYL FORMULAS FOR ANNULAR RAY-SPLITTING ...

$$0 \le \theta, \theta' < 2\pi. \tag{12c}$$

Here, it should be noted that in order to construct g(s), we need the Green function $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s)$ only for \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}' lying in the same region of the billiard.

When |s| is large, g(s) has the asymptotic expansion (Weyl series)

$$g(s) = \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{c_p}{s^p}$$
(13)

and from the c_p coefficients we can obtain the large-*k* asymptotic behavior for the spectral counting function in the form

$$\mathcal{N}(k) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4\pi}k^2 + \frac{2c_1}{\pi}k + c_2 - \frac{k}{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)k^{2n}}c_{2n+1}.$$
(14)

Our theory does not provide the expression for the surface term \mathcal{A} . We shall assume that \mathcal{A} is the billiard total area weighted by the refraction index and given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \pi (R^2 - r^2) + N^2 \pi r^2.$$
(15)

In order to obtain the c_p coefficients, we must first solve the problem defined by Eqs. (11) and (12) and then perform the integrations in Eq. (9). The solution of Eqs. (11) and (12) can be constructed in terms of the modified Bessel functions [22] and is given by

$$G^{I}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{I_{m}(sR)D_{m}^{(1)}(sr, N)}{I_{m}(sR)D_{m}(sr, N) - K_{m}(sR)D_{m}^{(1)}(sr, N)} \bigg[K_{m}(s\rho_{>}) - \frac{K_{m}(sR)}{I_{m}(sR)} I_{m}(s\rho_{>}) \bigg] \\ \times \bigg[\frac{D_{m}(sr, N)}{D_{m}^{(1)}(sr, N)} I_{m}(s\rho_{<}) - K_{m}(s\rho_{<}) \bigg] e^{im(\theta - \theta')},$$
(16a)

$$G^{\text{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', s) = \frac{N^2}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_m(Ns\rho_{<}) \left[K_m(Ns\rho_{>}) - \frac{I_m(sR)E_m(sr,N) - K_m(sR)E_m^{(1)}(sr,N)}{I_m(sR)D_m(sr,N) - K_m(sR)D_m^{(1)}(sr,N)} I_m(Ns\rho_{>}) \right] e^{im(\theta - \theta')}, \quad (16b)$$

with $\rho_{<} = \inf(\rho, \rho'), \ \rho_{>} = \sup(\rho, \rho'), \ and$

$$D_m(sr,N) = K'_m(sr)I_m(Nsr) - N\alpha I'_m(Nsr)K_m(sr),$$
(17a)

$$D_m^{(1)}(sr,N) = I'_m(sr)I_m(Nsr) - N\alpha I'_m(Nsr)I_m(sr),$$
(17b)

$$E_m(sr,N) = K'_m(sr)K_m(Nsr) - N\alpha K'_m(Nsr)K_m(sr),$$
(17c)

$$E_m^{(1)}(sr,N) = I_m'(sr)K_m(Nsr) - N\alpha K_m'(Nsr)I_m(sr).$$
(17d)

This provides the expression for g(s):

$$g(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_m(s),$$
 (18)

where

with

$$f_m(s) = f_m^{(1)}(s) + f_m^{(2)}(s) + f_m^{(3)}(s)$$
(19)

 $f_m^{(1)}(s) = R^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{m^2}{s^2 R^2} \right) I_m(sR) K_m(sR) - I'_m(sR) K'_m(sR) - \frac{1}{sR} \frac{I'_m(sR) D_m(sr,N) - K'_m(sR) D_m^{(1)}(sr,N)}{I_m(sR) D_m(sr,N) - K_m(sR) D_m^{(1)}(sr,N)} \right],$ (20a)

$$f_{m}^{(2)}(s) = -r^{2} \Biggl[\Biggl(1 + \frac{m^{2}}{s^{2}r^{2}} \Biggr) I_{m}(sr)K_{m}(sr) - I'_{m}(sr)K'_{m}(sr) - \frac{N\alpha}{sr} \frac{I_{m}(sR)K'_{m}(sr) - K_{m}(sR)I'_{m}(sr)}{I_{m}(sR)D_{m}(sr,N) - K_{m}(sR)D_{m}^{(1)}(sr,N)} \\ \times I'_{m}(Nsr) + \Biggl(1 + \frac{m^{2}}{s^{2}r^{2}} \Biggr) \frac{1}{sr} \\ \times \frac{I_{m}(sR)K_{m}(sr) - K_{m}(sR)I_{m}(sr)}{I_{m}(sR)D_{m}(sr,N) - K_{m}(sR)D_{m}^{(1)}(sr,N)} \\ \times I_{m}(Nsr) \Biggr],$$
(20b)

046204-3

$$f_{m}^{(3)}(s) = N^{2}r^{2} \Biggl[\Biggl(1 + \frac{m^{2}}{N^{2}s^{2}r^{2}} \Biggr) I_{m}(Nsr)K_{m}(Nsr) - I'_{m}(Nsr)K'_{m}(Nsr) - \frac{1}{Nsr} \\ \times \frac{I_{m}(sR)K'_{m}(sr) - K_{m}(sR)I'_{m}(sr)}{I_{m}(sR)D_{m}(sr,N) - K_{m}(sR)D_{m}^{(1)}(sr,N)} \\ \times I'_{m}(Nsr) + \Biggl(1 + \frac{m^{2}}{N^{2}s^{2}r^{2}} \Biggr) \frac{\alpha}{sr} \\ \times \frac{I_{m}(sR)K_{m}(sr) - K_{m}(sR)I_{m}(sr)}{I_{m}(sR)D_{m}(sr,N) - K_{m}(sR)D_{m}^{(1)}(sr,N)} \\ \times I_{m}(Nsr) \Biggr].$$
(20c)

By using the Poisson summation formula as well as the relation $f_{-m}(s) = f_m(s)$, we can write

$$g(s) = -\sum_{\mu = -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} f_m(s) e^{i2\pi\mu m} dm.$$
(21)

It should be noted that Eq. (21), with $f_m(s)$ given by Eqs. (19) and (20), provides an exact expression for g(s).

III. FROM THE REGULARIZED RESOLVENT TO THE SMOOTHED SPECTRAL COUNTING FUNCTION

The large-|s| asymptotic behavior (13) of g(s) can now be found from Eq. (21) by replacing in Eqs. (19) and (20) the modified Bessel functions I_m , I'_m , K_m , and K'_m by their uniform asymptotic expansions [see Eqs. (9.7.8)–(9.7.10) of Ref. [22]] given by

$$I_m(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{(m^2 + z^2)^{1/4}} \exp[F_m(z)/2] \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{u_p[t_m(z)]}{m^p},$$
(22a)

$$I'_{m}(z) = \frac{(m^{2} + z^{2})^{1/4}}{z\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp[F_{m}(z)/2] \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{v_{p}[t_{m}(z)]}{m^{p}},$$
(22b)

$$K_m(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{(m^2 + z^2)^{1/4}} \exp[-F_m(z)/2]$$
$$\times \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^p u_p[t_m(z)]}{m^p}, \qquad (22c)$$

$$K'_{m}(z) = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(m^{2} + z^{2})^{1/4}}{z} \exp[-F_{m}(z)/2]$$
$$\times \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p} v_{p}[t_{m}(z)]}{m^{p}}.$$
 (22d)

Here

$$F_m(z) = 2(m^2 + z^2)^{1/2} + 2m \ln \left[\frac{z}{m + (m^2 + z^2)^{1/2}} \right],$$
(23a)

$$t_m(z) = \frac{m}{(m^2 + z^2)^{1/2}},$$
 (23b)

and u_p and v_p are polynomials given in chapter 9 of Ref. [22] [Eqs. (9.3.10) and (9.3.14)]. It should be noted that, as for the circle billiard [7,8], the Weyl coefficients c_p and therefore the smoothed spectral counting function come directly from the $\mu = 0$ term in Eq. (21). As noted by Berry and Howls [8] (see also Refs. [23,24]) the other terms are associated with the fluctuating part of the spectral counting function which could be obtained by carefully taking into account Stokes phenomenon for the asymptotic expansions (22) in the context of hyperasymptotics [25,26].

The $\mu = 0$ term in Eq. (21) now reduces to

$$\overline{g}(s) = -\int_0^{+\infty} \overline{f}_m(s) dm, \qquad (24)$$

where

$$\overline{f}_m(s) = \overline{f}_m^{(1)}(s) + \overline{f}_m^{(2)}(s) + \overline{f}_m^{(3)}(s)$$
(25)

with

$$\overline{f}_m^{(1)}(s) = -\frac{\sqrt{m^2 + s^2 R^2}}{s^2} \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{A_p^{(1)}[t_m(sR)]}{m^p}, \quad (26a)$$

$$\overline{f}_{m}^{(2)}(s) = + \frac{\sqrt{m^{2} + s^{2}r^{2}}}{s^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{A_{p}^{(2)}[t_{m}(sr), t_{m}(Nsr)]}{m^{p}},$$
(26b)

$$\overline{f}_{m}^{(3)}(s) = -\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} + N^{2}s^{2}r^{2}}}{s^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{A_{p}^{(3)}[t_{m}(sr), t_{m}(Nsr)]}{m^{p}}.$$
(26c)

Here the functions $A_p^{(1)}$, $A_p^{(2)}$, and $A_p^{(3)}$ can be expressed in terms of the polynomials u_p and v_p and therefore can be explicitly obtained [see, below, Eq. (28)]. Then, by using Eqs. (24)–(26), we find the general expression

WEYL FORMULAS FOR ANNULAR RAY-SPLITTING ...

$$c_{p} = \frac{1}{R^{p-2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1+x^{2}}}{x^{p}} A_{p}^{(1)} [x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}}] dx - \frac{1}{r^{p-2}} \left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1+x^{2}}}{x^{p}} A_{p}^{(2)} [x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}}, x/\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}] dx - \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}}{x^{p}} A_{p}^{(3)} [x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}}, x/\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}] dx \right].$$

$$(27)$$

In order to provide the terms in k and k^0 in the expression of the smoothed spectral counting function, we need the coefficients c_1 and c_2 . They can be obtained, by performing the integrations in the previous equation, from the functions $A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(1)}$, $A_1^{(2)}$, $A_2^{(2)}$, $A_1^{(3)}$, and $A_2^{(3)}$, which are explicitly given by

$$A_1^{(1)}[x/\sqrt{1+x^2}] = -\frac{x}{2(1+x^2)^{3/2}},$$
(28a)

$$A_2^{(1)}[x/\sqrt{1+x^2}] = \frac{x^4}{2(1+x^2)^3},$$
(28b)

$$A_{1}^{(2)}[x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}},x/\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}] = \frac{x}{2(1+x^{2})^{3/2}} - \frac{x}{(1+x^{2})[\sqrt{1+x^{2}}+\alpha\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}]},$$
(28c)

$$A_{2}^{(2)}[x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}}, x/\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}] = \frac{[(1-\alpha)N^{2}+(1-\alpha N^{2})^{2}x^{2}+(\alpha-1)(2\alpha+1)N^{2}x^{4}+(\alpha^{2}-1)x^{6}]x^{2}}{2(N^{2}+x^{2})(1+x^{2})^{3}[\sqrt{1+x^{2}}+\alpha\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}]^{2}},$$
(28d)

$$A_{1}^{(3)}[x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}}, x/\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}] = -\frac{N^{2}x}{2(N^{2}+x^{2})^{3/2}} + \frac{\alpha N^{2}x}{(N^{2}+x^{2})[\sqrt{1+x^{2}}+\alpha\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}]},$$
(28e)

$$A_{2}^{(3)}[x/\sqrt{1+x^{2}}, x/\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}] = \frac{[\alpha(\alpha-1)N^{6} + (1-\alpha N^{2})^{2}N^{2}x^{2} + (1-\alpha)(\alpha+2)N^{2}x^{4} + (1-\alpha^{2})N^{2}x^{6}]x^{2}}{2(1+x^{2})(N^{2}+x^{2})^{3}[\sqrt{1+x^{2}} + \alpha\sqrt{N^{2}+x^{2}}]^{2}}.$$
 (28f)

Γ

For the TM polarization ($\alpha = 1$), we then find

$$\mathcal{N}(k) = \frac{k^2 (R^2 - r^2)}{4} + \frac{N^2 k^2 r^2}{4} - \frac{kR}{2} + \left[\frac{2}{\pi} E(1 - N^2) - \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right] kr + \frac{1}{6} + \dots \text{ if } N < 1,$$
(29a)

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(k) = \frac{k^2 (R^2 - r^2)}{4} + \frac{N^2 k^2 r^2}{4} - \frac{kR}{2} + \left[\frac{2N}{\pi} E\left(\frac{N^2 - 1}{N^2}\right) - \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right] kr + \frac{1}{6} + \dots$$
if $N > 1.$ (29b)

For the TE polarization ($\alpha = 1/N^2$), we then obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(k) = \frac{k^2 (R^2 - r^2)}{4} + \frac{N^2 k^2 r^2}{4} - \frac{kR}{2} + \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{N^2}{1 + N^2} K(1 - N^2) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{N^4}{1 + N^2} \times \Pi \left(1 - N^4, \frac{\pi}{2}, 1 - N^2\right) - \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right] kr + \frac{1}{6} + \cdots \quad \text{if } N < 1, \qquad (30a)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(k) = \frac{k^2 (R^2 - r^2)}{4} + \frac{N^2 k^2 r^2}{4} - \frac{kR}{2} + \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{N}{1 + N^2} K\left(\frac{N^2 - 1}{N^2}\right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{N(1 + N^2)} \Pi\left(\frac{N^4 - 1}{N^4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{N^2 - 1}{N^2}\right) - \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right] kr + \frac{1}{6} + \cdots \text{ if } N > 1.$$
(30b)

Finally, in the general case ($\alpha \neq 1$), we obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(k) = \frac{k^2 (R^2 - r^2)}{4} + \frac{N^2 k^2 r^2}{4} - \frac{kR}{2} + \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\alpha (1 - N^2)}{\alpha^2 - 1} \times K(1 - N^2) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\alpha^2 N^2 - 1}{\alpha (\alpha^2 - 1)} \Pi\left(\frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, 1 - N^2\right) - \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[kr + \frac{1}{6} + \dots \quad \text{if } N < 1, \qquad (31a)\right]$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{N}}(k) = \frac{k^2(R^2 - r^2)}{4} + \frac{N^2 k^2 r^2}{4} - \frac{kR}{2} + \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\alpha(1 - N^2)}{N(\alpha^2 - 1)} K\left(\frac{N^2 - 1}{N^2}\right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\alpha(\alpha^2 N^2 - 1)}{N(\alpha^2 - 1)} \right] \times \Pi\left(1 - \alpha^2, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{N^2 - 1}{N^2}\right) - \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2} kr + \frac{1}{6} + \cdots \quad \text{if } N > 1.$$
(31b)

In order to perform the integrations leading to Eqs. (29)–(31), it has been necessary to separate the particular case $\alpha = 1$ (TM polarization) from the general one $\alpha \neq 1$. It should be noted that the case $\alpha = 1/N^2$ (TE polarization) is included in the general case $\alpha \neq 1$ and can be recovered from Eq. (31). Moreover, it is important to keep in mind that as far as the elliptic integrals *E*, *K*, and Π are concerned, we adhere to the definitions and conventions of Ref. [22] which are in agreement with those of *Mathematica* [21] but differ from those of Ref. [27].

The various terms appearing in Eqs. (29)-(31) have their usual physical interpretations: The first and the second terms (in k^2) yield the area contributions, the third and the fourth ones (in k) yield the perimeter contributions, while the fifth term (in k^0) yields the curvature contributions. In particular, it should be noted that the fourth term, in all these equations, provides the perimeter correction associated with the circular ray-splitting boundary at $\rho = r$ and that it is this term which contains the elliptic integrals. Moreover, it seems to us necessary to point out that the fifth term is associated with the curvature of the circular Dirichlet boundary at $\rho = R$. In other words, and this is rather surprising, the ray-splitting boundary at $\rho = r$ does not provide any correction to the curvature contributions.

IV. THE DESYMMETRIZED ANNULAR RAY-SPLITTING BILLIARD

In Sec. II, we pointed out the twofold degeneracy of the eigenvalues $k_{m,n}$ with $m \neq 0$. It is possible to work with the nondegenerated spectra by separating the eigenfunctions of the annular ray-splitting billiard in two different sets: In the first set, we consider the even eigenfunctions [even in the change $\mathbf{x}(\rho, \theta) \rightarrow \sigma \mathbf{x}(\rho, -\theta)$] given by

$$\Phi_{m,n}^{(+)}(\rho,\theta) = A_{m,n}^{(+)} \left(J_m(k_{m,n}\rho) - \frac{J_m(k_{m,n}R)}{H^{(1)}(k_{m,n}R)} H^{(1)}(k_{m,n}\rho) \right) \times \cos(m\,\theta)$$
(32)

with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, while in the second set, we consider the odd eigenfunctions [odd in the change $\mathbf{x}(\rho, \theta) \rightarrow \sigma \mathbf{x}(\rho, -\theta)$] given by

$$\Phi_{m,n}^{(-)}(\rho,\theta) = A_{m,n}^{(-)} \left(J_m(k_{m,n}\rho) - \frac{J_m(k_{m,n}R)}{H^{(1)}(k_{m,n}R)} H^{(1)}(k_{m,n}\rho) \right) \\ \times \sin(m\,\theta)$$
(33)

with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Here and in the following, the superscripts (+) and (-) refer respectively to positive and negative parities and the $A_{m,n}^{(+)}$ and the $A_{m,n}^{(-)}$ are normalization constants. The spectral counting functions $\mathcal{N}^{(+)}(k)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(-)}(k)$ associated with these two sets are then given by

$$\mathcal{N}^{(+)}(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Theta(k - k_{m,n}), \qquad (34a)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{(-)}(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Theta(k - k_{m,n}).$$
(34b)

The smoothed spectral counting functions $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(+)}(k)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(-)}(k)$ respectively associated with $\mathcal{N}^{(+)}(k)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(-)}(k)$ can now be obtained by using, *mutatis mutandis*, the theoretical framework developed in the two preceding sections: $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(+)}(k)$ can be constructed from the even part $g^{(+)}(s)$ of the regularized resolvent g(s) given by Eq. (9) while $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(-)}(k)$ can be constructed from its odd part $g^{(-)}(s)$. The functions $g^{(+)}(s)$ and $g^{(-)}(s)$ are given by

$$g^{(\pm)}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} [G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s) \pm G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma \mathbf{x}, s)$$
$$-G_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s)] \rho d\rho d\theta$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_r^R \int_0^{2\pi} [G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s) \pm G^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma \mathbf{x}, s)$$
$$-G_0^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, s)] \rho d\rho d\theta \qquad (35)$$

and they satisfy

$$g(s) = g^{(+)}(s) + g^{(-)}(s).$$
(36)

By performing the integrations in Eq. (35), we obtain

$$g^{(\pm)}(s) = \frac{1}{2}g(s) \pm g^{\text{corr}}(s)$$
(37)

with

$$g^{\text{corr}}(s) = -\frac{1}{4}f_0(s) + \frac{N^2 r^2}{4} [I_0(Nsr)K_0(Nsr) - I_0'(Nsr)K_0'(Nsr)] + \frac{R^2}{4} [I_0(sR)K_0(sR) - I_0'(sR)K_0'(sR)] - \frac{r^2}{4} [I_0(sr)K_0(sr) - I_0'(sr)K_0'(sr)]$$
(38)

and, by using the asymptotic expansions given by Eqs. (9.7.1)-(9.7.6) of Ref. [22], we can write

$$g^{\text{corr}}(s) = \frac{(N-1)r+R}{4s} - \frac{1}{8s^2} + O_{|s| \to +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s^3}\right).$$
(39)

We then immediately obtain

$$\bar{\mathcal{N}}^{(\pm)}(k) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{N}}(k) \pm \frac{(N-1)r+R}{2\pi}k \pm \frac{1}{8} + \cdots$$
 (40)

with $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(k)$ which is given by any of Eqs. (29)–(31), according to the physical problem considered.

Now, we would like to provide a physical interpretation of the results obtained above. We first note that the twofold degeneracy of the eigenvalues $k_{m,n}$ with $m \neq 0$ is directly linked to the invariance of the annular billiard under the continuous group O(2) (i.e., under rotations about the common center of the two circles defining the billiard) and is mathematically explained by the following result: The functions $\exp(\pm im\theta)$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ fixed, form a basis for a twodimensional representation of O(2). In order to suppress that degeneracy, it is necessary to break the symmetry under the continuous group O(2). This can be done by folding the annular billiard along its diameter lying on the Ox axis. On that diameter, we can assume that the scalar field Φ satisfies either the Dirichlet or the Neumann boundary condition. We then define two different half-annular billiards which are both desymmetrized versions of the annular ray-splitting billiard. By assuming that the modes which solve the problem defined by Eqs. (2)-(5) satisfy also the Neumann (the Dirichlet) boundary condition on the diameter, we recover the even eigenfunctions (32) [respectively the odd eigenfunctions (33)] as well as the associated eigenvalue spectrum. Equation (40) provides the Weyl formulas corresponding to these half-annular ray-splitting billiards. The factor 1/2 in front of the first term of Eq. (40) as well as the second and third terms are corrections which take into account the folding of the annular billiard and the boundary conditions on the fold. It is interesting to note (i) the perimeter contribution given by $\pm (Nr/2\pi)k$ that corresponds to the inner halfcircle diameter, which bounds the region of index N by a Neumann or a Dirichlet boundary, (ii) the term $\pm 1/8$ which originates from the two corners at the ends of the outer halfcircle diameter, and (iii) the fact that the ray-splitting corners at the ends of the inner half-circle diameter do not provide any corrections.



FIG. 1. The fluctuating part of the spectral counting function for the TM and TE theories (r/R = 2/10 and N = 3/4).

V. NUMERICAL CHECKS

We have checked Eqs. (29)–(31) and (40) for various configurations corresponding to different values of the parameters r/R, N, and α by considering the oscillations around zero of the function $\Delta \overline{\mathcal{N}}(k) = \mathcal{N}(k) - \overline{\mathcal{N}}(k)$ which is the fluctuating part of the spectral counting function. All these numerical checks confirm the Weyl formulas obtained in Secs. III and IV.

In Figs. 1 and 2, we present some results for the TM and TE theories which have been obtained for r/R = 2/10 and for N=3/4 and N=3. We have computed all the eigenvalues $k_{m,n}$ up to the frequency $k_{max}=120$ by solving Eq. (6). For N=3, the corresponding number of eigenvalues is around 4700 while for N=3/4 it is around 3500.

VI. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

(a) From our previous results obtained in the particular case of annular ray-splitting billiards, we can infer rules permitting us to construct Weyl formulas for general raysplitting billiards. The associated Weyl formulas providing the smoothed spectral counting functions are given in the usual form, i.e., by

$$\bar{\mathcal{N}}(k) \approx \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4\pi} k^2 + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{4\pi} k + \mathcal{C}, \tag{41}$$

but now the area term A, the perimeter term L as well as the constant term C must take into account the ray-splitting phenomenon.

(i) A is the billiard total area weighted by the refraction index. For example, a piece of billiard of area *a* and index of refraction *N* provides a contribution to A given by



FIG. 2. The fluctuating part of the spectral counting function for the TM and TE theories (r/R = 2/10 and N = 3).

$$N^2 a.$$
 (42)

(ii) \mathcal{L} is a sum of terms associated with the boundaries on which discontinuities in the physical properties occur. The contribution of a boundary of length ℓ which separates a region of index *N* from a forbidden region is given by

$$-N\ell$$
 (43)

if we assume Dirichlet condition on that boundary and by

$$N\ell$$
 (44)

if we assume Neumann condition. The contribution of a boundary of length ℓ which separates a region of index N from a region of index 1 is given by

$$\left[\frac{4}{\pi}E(1-N^2) - N - 1\right]\ell \quad \text{if } N < 1, \tag{45a}$$

$$\left[\frac{4N}{\pi}E\left(\frac{N^2-1}{N^2}\right)-N-1\right]\ell \quad \text{if } N>1, \qquad (45b)$$

for the TM polarization, by

$$\left[\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{N^2}{1+N^2} K(1-N^2) + \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{N^4}{1+N^2} \times \Pi\left(1-N^4, \frac{\pi}{2}, 1-N^2\right) - N - 1\right] \ell \quad \text{if } N < 1, \qquad (46a)$$

$$\left[\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{N}{1+N^2} K\left(\frac{N^2-1}{N^2}\right) + \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{N(1+N^2)} \times \Pi\left(\frac{N^4-1}{N^4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{N^2-1}{N^2}\right) - N - 1\right] \ell \quad \text{if } N > 1, \quad (46b)$$

for the TE polarization, and by

$$\left[\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{\alpha(1-N^2)}{\alpha^2 - 1} K(1-N^2) + \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{\alpha^2 N^2 - 1}{\alpha(\alpha^2 - 1)} \times \Pi\left(\frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, 1-N^2\right) - N - 1\right] \ell \quad \text{if } N < 1,$$
(47a)

$$\left[\frac{4}{\pi}\frac{\alpha(1-N^2)}{N(\alpha^2-1)}K\left(\frac{N^2-1}{N^2}\right) + \frac{4}{\pi}\frac{\alpha(\alpha^2N^2-1)}{N(\alpha^2-1)} \times \Pi\left(1-\alpha^2,\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{N^2-1}{N^2}\right) - N-1\right]\ell \quad \text{if } N > 1,$$
(47b)

in the general case ($\alpha \neq 1$).

(iii) The constant term C takes into account curvature and corner contributions. As far as the former is concerned, it is given by

$$+\frac{1}{12\pi}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{ds}{R(s)}$$
(48)

for a boundary curve Γ which separates a region of index N from a forbidden region, R(s) denoting the local radius of curvature along Γ . When Γ is a ray-splitting boundary which separates a region of index N from a region of index 1 the associated curvature contribution vanishes. As far as corner contributions are concerned, we simply note that ray-splitting corners with angle $\pi/2$ provide a vanishing contribution.

We are just beginning to check the previous formulas for the various desymmetrized versions of ray-splitting Sinai billiards. We obtain a very good agreement between the theoretical formulas and the numerical data. This reinforces our opinion that they are exact. It would be very interesting to prove them rigorously but we are unable to do so. We have also tried to link the formulas found for the TM polarization ($\alpha = 1$) to the results that Kohler and Blümel [16] have obtained for the scaled states of quantum ray-splitting billiards. We believe that such a link must exist but, unfortunately, we have not established it.

(b) In this paper, we have been exclusively concerned with the smooth part of the spectral counting function $\mathcal{N}(k)$ for annular ray-splitting billiards. It seems to us possible to treat also the construction of the oscillating part of $\mathcal{N}(k)$ as a canonical problem. By carefully taking into account Stokes

phenomenon in the context of hyperasymptotics [25,26], it might be possible to extract from g(s) all the periodic orbit contributions, even though the algebraic calculations involved are certainly enormous.

(c) Finally, it would be very interesting to extend our calculations to the three-dimensional case, having in mind applications to the domain of quantum optics and more particularly to cavity quantum electrodynamics. Indeed, as is well known, the optical properties (spontaneous emission, stimulated emission, etc.) of atoms and molecules embedded in a

- [1] H. Weyl, Göttingen Nachrichten 110 (1911).
- [2] M. Kac, Am. Math. Monthly **73**, 1 (1966).
- [3] H.T. Baltes and E.R. Hilf, Spectra of Finite Systems (Bibliographisches Institut Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1976).
- [4] M. Brack and R.K. Bhaduri, *Semiclassical Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1997).
- [5] R. Balian and C. Bloch, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 60, 401 (1970).
- [6] R. Balian and C. Bloch, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 64, 271 (1971).
- [7] K. Stewartson and R.T. Waechter, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 69, 581 (1971).
- [8] M. Berry and C.J. Howls, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 447, 527 (1994).
- [9] M. Sieber, H. Primack, U. Smilansky, I. Ussishkin, and H. Schanz, J. Phys. A 28, 5041 (1995).
- [10] L. Couchman, E. Ott, and T.M. Antonsen, Phys. Rev. A 46, 6193 (1992).
- [11] R.E. Prange, E. Ott, T.M. Antonsen, B. Georgeot, and R. Blümel, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 207 (1996).
- [12] R. Blümel, T.M. Antonsen, B. Georgeot, E. Ott, and R.E. Prange, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2476 (1996).
- [13] R. Blümel, T.M. Antonsen, B. Georgeot, E. Ott, and R.E. Prange, Phys. Rev. E 53, 3284 (1996).

cavity strongly depend on the density of states of the electromagnetic field. Because of that, Weyl formulas for cavities containing dielectric structures would be certainly welcome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Bruce Jensen for discussions concerning the article of Kac [2] 10 years ago as well as for more recent comments on the present work.

- [14] A. Kohler and R. Blümel, Phys. Lett. A 238, 271 (1998).
- [15] A. Kohler and R. Blümel, Phys. Lett. A 247, 87 (1998).
- [16] A. Kohler and R. Blümel, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 267, 249 (1998).
- [17] Y. Dabaghian, R.V. Jensen, and R. Blümel, Phys. Rev. E 63, 066201 (2001).
- [18] N. Savytskyy, A. Kohler, S. Bauch, R. Blümel, and L. Sirko, Phys. Rev. E 64, 036211 (2001).
- [19] R. Blümel, Y. Dabaghian, and R.V. Jensen, Phys. Rev. E 65, 046222 (2002).
- [20] D.S. Jones, Acoustic and Electromagnetic Waves (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [21] S. Wolfram, *The Mathematica Book* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- [22] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New-York, 1965).
- [23] C.J. Howls and S.A. Trasler, J. Phys. A 31, 1911 (1998).
- [24] C.J. Howls and S.A. Trasler, J. Phys. A 32, 1487 (1999).
- [25] M. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 422, 7 (1989).
- [26] M. Berry and C.J. Howls, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 434, 657 (1991).
- [27] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 5th ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994).